

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/886,637	06/21/2001	John Kullman	1680	6137
7590 04/15/2004			EXAMINER	
Steven J. Fund		FOX, BRYAN J		
Sprint Corporation 8140 Ward Parkway			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Kansas City, MO 64114			2686	` _
			DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004	4 3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X

		54			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summons	09/886,637	KULLMAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
TI MAILING DATE of this construction	Bryan J Fox	2686			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ju	ne 2001.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)	42 is/are rejected. are objected to.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	ammor. Noto the attached office				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/9-27-01</u> .		Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office					



Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5, 11-15, 21-22, 28-33, 35-37 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stead (US 20020151313A1).

Regarding claim 1, Stead discloses a system that provides location information concerning wireless telephones in a form that is commercially useful (see page 2, paragraph 12), which reads on the claimed "In a cellular wireless system having a plurality of sectors, a method of communicating a geographic location of a given sector, so as to facilitate a location-based service with respect to the given sector". The system finds the sector that transmitted the signal and outputs a polygon associated with each sector in the wireless network, the polygon representing where the mobile device is likely to be located (see page 4, paragraphs 43 and 44), which reads on the claimed "establishing a PI-based location to represent the given sector". The wireless network 20 provides location data both to commercial entities via a global interconnected network of networks 40 and to a Public Safety Access Point 30 (see page 2, paragraph

Art Unit: 2686

24 and figure 1), which reads on the claimed "communicating the PI-based location as a representation of the geographic location of the given sector". Information such as the nearest ATM may be satisfactorily answered by providing this location data to the relevant service provider 50 or merchant 60 who may then respond with an answer to the geographic proximity question with point-of-interest information (see page 2, paragraph 25), which reads on the claimed "whereby the location-based service is performed based on the PI-based location".

Regarding claim 2, Stead discloses a system allowing merchant-initiated commerce, where the service provider 50 or the merchant 60 receives information data indicating when a wireless subscriber is in their proximity (see page 2, paragraph 26), which reads on the claimed "location-based service comprises locating a mobile station positioned in the sector".

Regarding claim 3, Stead discloses that the information may be provided to a public safety user (see page 4, paragraph 56), which reads on the claimed "emergency assistance".

Regarding claim 4, Stead discloses that the determination of the polygon is provided as a series of measurements at different coordinates (see page 4, paragraph 47), which reads on the claimed "the PI-based location comprises a polygon of influence for the given sector with respect to adjacent sectors, the polygon of influence being defined by a plurality of geographic coordinates".

Regarding claim 5, Stead discloses that a single point may be provided, such as the centroid of the polygon (see page 4, paragraph 46), which reads on the claimed "the

Art Unit: 2686

PI-based location comprises a geographic position within a polygon of influence for the given sector".

Regarding claim 11, Stead discloses a system that provides location information concerning wireless telephones in a form that is commercially useful (see page 2, paragraph 12), which reads on the claimed "method of communicating mobile station location in a cellular wireless system, the cellular wireless system having a plurality of sectors". The system finds the sector that transmitted the signal (see pages 3-4, paragraphs 39-42), which reads on the claimed "determining that a mobile station is located in a given sector of the plurality of sectors" and outputs a polygon associated with each sector in the wireless network, the polygon representing where the mobile device is likely to be located (see page 4, paragraphs 43 and 44), which reads on the claimed "establishing a PI-based location to represent the given sector". The wireless network 20 provides location data both to commercial entities via a global interconnected network of networks 40 and to a Public Safety Access Point 30 (see page 2, paragraph 24 and figure 1), which reads on the claimed "communicating the PI-based location as a representation of where the mobile station is located".

Regarding claim 12, Stead discloses that the determination of the polygon is provided as a series of measurements at different coordinates (see page 4, paragraph 47), which reads on the claimed "the PI-based location comprises a polygon of influence for the given sector with respect to adjacent sectors, the polygon of influence being defined by a plurality of geographic coordinates".

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claim 13, Stead discloses that a single point may be provided, such as the centroid of the polygon (see page 4, paragraph 46), which reads on the claimed "the PI-based location comprises a geographic position within a polygon of influence for the given sector".

Regarding claim 14, Stead discloses a system that stores and updates information relating to sector performance in a database 220 (see page 3, paragraph 29), which reads on the claimed "maintaining data that correlates each sector of the plurality of sectors with a respective PI-based location", and location information to be used according to the present invention is based on this sector performance information in the wireless network modeling database (see page 3, paragraph 30), which reads on the claimed "establishing the PI-based location to represent the given sector comprises using data to identify a PI-based location for the given sector".

Regarding claim 15, Stead discloses a network modeling database 220 that returns geographic shape data for a sector (see page 3, paragraph 32), which reads on the claimed "the data comprises a database table in which each record indicates a Pl-based location for a respective sector".

Regarding claim 21, Stead discloses a system that has a modeling database 220 that models the sector by sector performance of the wireless network (see page 3, paragraph 29), which reads on the claimed "establishing PI-based locations for all of the sectors". This information is provided for retrieval by interested parties on the network (see page 3, paragraph 32), which reads on the claimed "using the data file to identify a PI-based location for the given sector". The information may be updated (see figure 4)

Art Unit: 2686

and new shapes updated (see page 3, paragraph 36) and if the information is updated, it must be stored, which reads on the claimed "storing the PI-based locations in a data file".

Regarding claim 22, Stead discloses that the database is updated when new information is provided (see page 3, paragraph 36 and figure 4), which reads on the claimed "repeating steps (a) and (b) periodically".

Regarding claim 28, Stead discloses that the location data concerning a particular handset is provided to database 230 for subsequent retrieval by interested parties on the network (see page 3, paragraph 32), which reads on the claimed "storing the PI-based location in a data store accessible to a recipient entity" and "whereby the recipient entity accesses the data store and obtains the PI-based location form the data store".

Regarding claim 29, Stead discloses that in one embodiment, the profile database returns to the initiating merchant 140 the requested location information via the network 40 (see page 3, paragraph 34), which reads on the claimed "transmitting the PI-based location to a location-based service provider in response to a request for a location of the mobile station".

Regarding claim 30, Stead discloses that the information may be provided to a public safety user (see page 4, paragraph 56), which reads on the claimed "emergency service entity".

Regarding claim 31, Stead discloses that in one embodiment, the profile database returns to the initiating merchant 140 the requested location information via

Art Unit: 2686

the network 40 (see page 3, paragraph 34), which reads on the claimed "transmitting the PI-based location to a location-based service system when establishing a communication session between the mobile station and the location-based service system".

Regarding claim 32, Stead discloses that the information may be provided to a public safety user (see page 4, paragraph 56), which reads on the claimed "emergency service entity".

Regarding claim 33, Stead discloses that in the subscriber-initiated commerce embodiment (see page 2, paragraph 25), the user of the communication device 10 deliberately seeks out information and causes location data concerning the wireless device to be provided to commercial entities and based on this request, the merchant responds through the network (disclosed in page 2, paragraph 25), which reads on the claimed "receiving a request to establish the communication session", where the merchant response following the user request and location data transmission the network reads on the claimed "communication session". As discussed above, the location data is transmitted as claimed, and before the merchant responds they have the location information of the user, in order to provide information such as the nearest ATM (see page 2, paragraph 25).

Regarding claim 35, Stead discloses a system that provides location information concerning wireless telephones in a form that is commercially useful that finds the sector that transmitted the signal (see pages 3-4, paragraphs 39-42), which reads on the claimed "determining that a mobile station is located in a given sector of a cellular

Art Unit: 2686

wireless system" and outputs a polygon associated with each sector in the wireless network, the polygon representing where the mobile device is likely to be located (see page 4, paragraphs 43 and 44), which reads on the claimed "selecting a PI-based location to represent the given sector". The wireless network 20 provides location data both to commercial entities via a global interconnected network of networks 40 and to a Public Safety Access Point 30 (see page 2, paragraph 24 and figure 1) to provide a service based on the location information, which reads on the claimed "performing a service based on the PI-based location".

Regarding claim 36, Stead discloses a system that provides location information concerning wireless telephones in a form that is commercially useful that finds the sector that transmitted the signal (see pages 3-4, paragraphs 39-42), which reads on the claimed "system for communicating mobile station location in a cellular wireless system, the cellular wireless system having a plurality of sectors, the mobile station being located in a given sector of the plurality of sectors". The system outputs a polygon associate with each sector in the wireless network, the polygon representing where the mobile device is likely to be located (see page 4, paragraphs 43 and 44), which reads on the claimed "means for establishing a PI-based location to represent the given sector". The wireless network 20 provides location data both to commercial entities via a global interconnected network of networks 40 and to a Public Safety Access Point 30 (see page 2, paragraph 24 and figure 1, which reads on the claimed "means for communicating the PI-based location as a representation of where the mobile station is located".





Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claim 37, Stead discloses that the means for establishing a PI-based location to represent the given sector is a wireless network modeling database 220 at the IMPL server 200 and is a software module that models the sector by sector performance of the wireless network 20 (see page 3, paragraph 29), which reads on the claimed "machine language instructions... to geometrically establish a polygon of influence for the origin of the given sector with respect to the origins of adjacent sectors". The above database 220 reads on the claimed "data storage medium". The above described performance modeling must require processing, which reads on the claimed "processor".

Regarding claim 42, Stead discloses that in one embodiment, the profile database returns to the initiating merchant 140 the requested location information via the network 40 (see page 3, paragraph 34), which reads on the claimed "machine language instructions stored in the data storage medium and executable by the processor to transmit the PI-based location to a recipient entity".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.





Art Unit: 2686

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 6, 8, 9, 15, 18, 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stead in view of LeBlanc et al. (US005508707A).

Regarding claim 6, Stead fails to expressly disclose the defining of a respective geographic origin.

LeBlanc et al. discloses a method for determining the position of a mobile unit in a wireless communication system (see column 1, lines 11-15), where, in order to aid in determining the location of a unit, a base station database with the latitude, longitude of the physical placement of the base station and whether or not a distributed antenna scheme is used, and if so, placement of all remote antennas (see column 15, lines 1-36), which reads on the claimed "each sector of the plurality of sectors defines a respective geographic origin". The system in Leblanc et al. locates the unit by defining a bounding polygon in which the unit must be located (see column 14, lines 48-53), which reads on the claimed "geometrically establishing a polygon of influence for the origin of the given sector with respect to the origins of adjacent sectors".

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Stead with Leblanc et al. to include the above use of the origins

Art Unit: 2686

of the antennas in order to account for variables involved in propagation prediction such as clutter as suggested by LeBlanc et al. (see column 15, lines 1-8).

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Stead and LeBlanc et al. discloses that the location information is in the form of a polygon (see Stead page 4, paragraph 44), which reads on the claimed "the PI-based location comprises the polygon of influence".

Regarding claim 9, the combination of Stead and LeBlanc et al. discloses that if the application requires a single point instead of a polygon and may calculate the centroid of the polygon (see Stead page 4, paragraph 46), which reads on the claimed "establishing as the PI-based location a representative point within the polygon of influence".

Regarding claim 16, Stead fails to expressly disclose the use of the origin of a sector.

LeBlanc et al. discloses a method for determining the position of a mobile unit in a wireless communication system (see column 1, lines 11-15), where, in order to aid in determining the location of a unit, a base station database with the latitude, longitude of the physical placement of the base station and whether or not a distributed antenna scheme is used, and if so, placement of all remote antennas (see column 15, lines 1-36). The unit is located by defining a bounding polygon in which the unit must be located (see column 14, lines 48-53), which reads on the claimed "geometrically establishing, as the PI-based location, a polygon of influence for the origin of the given sector with respect to the origins of adjacent sectors".

Art Unit: 2686

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Stead with Leblanc et al. to include the above use of the origins of the antennas in order to account for variables involved in propagation prediction such as clutter as suggested by LeBlanc et al. (see column 15, lines 1-8).

Regarding claim 18, the combination of Stead and LeBlanc et al. discloses a method for determining the position of a mobile unit in a wireless communication system (see LeBlanc et al. column 1, lines 11-15), where, in order to aid in determining the location of a unit, a base station database with the latitude, longitude of the physical placement of the base station and whether or not a distributed antenna scheme is used, and if so, placement of all remote antennas (see LeBlanc et al. column 15, lines 1-36), which reads on the claimed "each sector of the plurality of sectors defines a respective geographic origin". The system in Leblanc et al. locates the unit by defining a bounding polygon in which the unit must be located (see LeBlanc et al. column 14, lines 48-53), which reads on the claimed "geometrically establishing a polygon of influence for the origin of the given sector with respect to the origins of adjacent sectors". The combination of Stead and LeBlanc et al. further discloses that if the application requires a single point instead of a polygon and may calculate the centroid of the polygon (see Stead page 4, paragraph 46), which reads on the claimed "establishing as the PI-based location a representative point within the polygon of influence".

Regarding claim 23, the combination of Stead and LeBlanc et al. discloses a method for determining the position of a mobile unit in a wireless communication system (see LeBlanc et al. column 1, lines 11-15), where, in order to aid in determining the

Art Unit: 2686

location of a unit, a base station database with the latitude, longitude of the physical placement of the base station and whether or not a distributed antenna scheme is used, and if so, placement of all remote antennas (see LeBlanc et al. column 15, lines 1-36). The unit is located by defining a bounding polygon in which the unit must be located (see LeBlanc et al. column 14, lines 48-53), which reads on the claimed "geometrically establishing, as the PI-based location for the sector, a polygon of influence for the origin of the given sector with respect to the origins of adjacent sectors".

Regarding claim 25, the combination of Stead and LeBlanc et al. discloses a method for determining the position of a mobile unit in a wireless communication system (see LeBlanc et al. column 1, lines 11-15), where, in order to aid in determining the location of a unit, a base station database with the latitude, longitude of the physical placement of the base station and whether or not a distributed antenna scheme is used, and if so, placement of all remote antennas (see LeBlanc et al. column 15, lines 1-36), which reads on the claimed "each sector of the plurality of sectors defines a respective geographic origin". The system in Leblanc et al. locates the unit by defining a bounding polygon in which the unit must be located (see LeBlanc et al. column 14, lines 48-53), which reads on the claimed "geometrically establishing a polygon of influence for the origin of the given sector with respect to the origins of adjacent sectors". The combination of Stead and LeBlanc et al. further discloses that if the application requires a single point instead of a polygon and may calculate the centroid of the polygon (see Stead page 4, paragraph 46), which reads on the claimed "establishing as the PI-based location a representative point within the polygon of influence".

Art Unit: 2686

Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stead in view of Jacobson et al. (US006466796B1).

Regarding claim 34, Stead fails to expressly disclose including the location data in a session setup message.

Jacobson et al. discloses a system where, when a call to a location based service (see column 5, lines 55-63) is placed, which reads on the claimed "receiving a request to establish the communication session", the location data is included in the call set-up message (see column 6, lines 8-19 and figures 4 and 8), which reads on the claimed invention that sends the location data to the location-based service system in a session setup message. Since the data is included in the call set-up message (see figure 8), the location-based service system has the location upon establishment of the communication session as claimed.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Stead with Jacobson et al. to include the location data in a call set-up message in order to extend the call to the location based service provider that provides service to that location as suggested by Jacobson et al. (see column 2, lines 5-9).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7, 10, 17, 19, 20, 24, 26, 27, and 38-41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claims 7, 17, 19, 24, 26 and 38-41, the prior art applied fails to teach a system that establishes the polygon of influence for the origin of the given sector by making a list of connecting lines that connect the origin of the given sector to origins of adjacent sectors, making a list of potential edge lines including perpendicular bisectors of each connecting line and clipping the edge lines where they intersect each other, and retaining as edge lines a piece of each clipped potential edge line closest to the origin of the given sector and connecting the edge lines to form the polygon of influence.

Regarding claim 10, 20 and 27, the prior art applied fails to teach a method of establishing a representative point within a polygon of influence that uses the center point of a rectangle around the polygon of influence in a system for location determination in a cellular wireless system.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Nowack et al. (US 20020193121A1) discloses a location information management system and method for mobile communications unit.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryan J Fox whose telephone number is (703) 305-8994. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (703) 305-4379. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2686

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BJF

NGUYENT.VO PRIMARY EXAMINER

1/guga 10 4-13-2004